- (2) Such applicant must also file with the Commission the associated contracts for sale, option agreements, management agreements, or other documents disclosing the total consideration that the applicant would receive in return for the transfer or assignment of its authorization. This information should include not only a monetary price, but also any future, contingent, in-kind, or other consideration (e.g., management or consulting contracts either with or without an option to purchase; below market financing).
- (b) Transfers of control or assignments of BTA or PSA authorizations are subject to the limitations of §§ 21.4, 21.900 and 21.912 of this subpart.
- (c) The anti-trafficking provision of §21.39 does not apply to the assignment or transfer of control of a BTA or PSA authorization, which was granted pursuant to the Commission's competitive bidding procedures.

[60 FR 36556, July 17, 1995]

§21.935 Assignment or transfer of control of station licenses within a BTA.

Licenses for individual stations within a BTA or PSA area issued to authorization holders may not be transferred or assigned unless they are acquired as part of a PSA.

[60 FR 36557, July 17, 1995]

§21.936 Cancellation of authorization.

- (a) The Commission may revoke or cancel a BTA or PSA authorization for gross misconduct, misrepresentation or bad faith on the part of the authorization holder.
- (b) Cancellation of a BTA or PSA authorization shall result in termination of any rights the authorization holder holds in individual proposed or authorized stations within the BTA or PSA.

[60 FR 36557, July 17, 1995]

§21.937 Negotiated interference protection.

(a) The level of acceptable electromagnetic interference that occurs at or within the boundaries of BTAs, PSAs, or an incumbent MDS station's 56.33 km (35 mile) protected service area can be negotiated and established by an

agreement between the appropriate parties, provided that:

- (1) the parties to such an agreement file with the Commission a written statement of no objection, acknowledging that the parties have agreed to accept a level of interference that does not meet the protection standards set forth in §§ 21.902 or 21.938 of the Commission's rules:
- (2) the statement bears the signatures of all parties to the agreement, or the signatures of their representative agents; and
- (3) the statement is filed with the Commission within 30 days of its ratification or file in conjunction with an application with which the agreement is associated, whichever is earliest.

[60 FR 36557, July 17, 1995]

§21.938 BTA and PSA technical and interference provisions.

- (a) BTA or PSA authorization holders are expected to cooperate with one another by designing their stations in a manner that protects service in adjoining BTAs and PSAs including consideration of interference abatement techniques such as cross polarization, frequency offset, directional antennas, antenna beam tilt, EIRP decrease, reduction of antenna height, and terrain shielding.
- (b) Unless the affected parties have executed a written interference agreement in accordance with §21.937, stations licensed to a BTA or PSA authorization holder must not cause harmful electromagnetic interference to the following:
- (1) the protected service area of other authorization holders in adjoining BTAs or PSAs.
- (2) the 56.33 km (35 mile) protected service areas of authorized or previously proposed MDS stations (incumbents).
- (3) registered receive sites and protected service areas of authorized or previously proposed stations in the Instructional Television Fixed Service pursuant to the manner in which interference is defined in §74.903(a).
- (c)(1) ITFS applicants may locate a new station in an unused portion of a BTA or PSA where interference to a previously-proposed or authorized MDS